



International Civil Aviation Organization

RASG-MID Steering Committee

Sixth Meeting (RSC/6)
(Cairo, Egypt, 25-27 June 2018)

Agenda Item 3: Regional Performance Framework for Safety

MID-RAST ACTIVITIES

(Presented by RAST Rapporteur)

SUMMARY

This paper presents the activities and progress achieved on the implementation of SEIs and DIPs for the key safety focus areas identified by the Annual Safety Report Team (ASRT) report, namely; Runway Safety (RS), Loss of Control In Flight (LOC-I) and System/Component Failure or Malfunction (SCF).

Action by the meeting is at paragraph 3.

REFERENCES

- RSC/5 Report
- RASG-MID/6 Report

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 The MID-RAST is one of three RASG-MID working groups, it has been established at the First meeting of the RASG-MID Steering Committee (RSC/1) held in Cairo in 18-20 June 2012 and is responsible for identifying and developing Safety Enhancement Initiatives (SEIs) and associated DIPs for each of the three top risk areas identified by Annual Safety Report namely, Runway Safety, Loss of Control In-Flight (LOC-I) and the System Component Failure or Malfunction (SCF).

2. DISCUSSION

2.1 MID –RAST has been following the progress of the approved DIPs and providing support to DIP champions. It utilizes the RASG-MID Annual Safety Report and statistical data to support the development of related SEIs/DIPS for the identified focus areas.

Runway Safety (RS)

2.2 Runway Safety (RS) DIPs status update will be covered by Working Paper 9.

LOC-I

2.3 LOC-I was identified as a high-risk category for MID Region to be addressed within the framework of Regional Aviation Safety Group-Middle East (RASG-MID) due to its high severity outcome non-survivability of all reported accidents from 2013 -2017, LOC-I accounted for 9% but it often has catastrophic results.

2.4 Three Safety Advisories (SAs) (RSA 09 - Airplane States Awareness (ASA) - Low Speed Alerting; RSA 07 - Standard Operating Procedures Effectiveness and Adherence; RSA 08 - Airplane States Awareness (ASA) - Training -Flight Crew training) released by ICAO MID Regional office as a result of safety enhancement Initiatives launched by RASG-MID to reduce the risk of loss-of-control accidents. These advisories are distributed to MID States authorities for follow up with airlines registered in their respective countries.

2.5 IATA MENA Office circulated the three advisories to MID member airlines. Four airlines implemented all the recommended practices referenced in the Safety Advisories. They did evaluate the effectiveness of implementation after receiving the Safety Advisories recommendations, and they confirmed the full implementation and satisfactory effectiveness of the recommendations. One airline implemented the recommendations for one type of their fleet (B787) while the rest of the fleet still under progress.

2.6 Six (6) State authorities amended the regulations to include the UPTR requirements per ICAO Amendment 38 to Annex 6.

2.7 The status of implementation is at **Appendix A**.

2.8 IATA will continue the efforts to get the status of the implementations of the Safety Advisories from the MID region member airlines.

System Component Failure or Malfunction (SCF)

2.9 RASG-MID /5 agreed that SCF-PP and SCF-NP be combined into one risk area (SCF).

2.10 **IATA definition of In-flight Damage (IFD)** is the damage occurring while airborne, including: **Weather-related events, technical failures, bird strikes and fire/smoke/fumes**

2.11 The meeting may wish to note that a total of three (3) accidents related to in-flight damage occurred in MID Region between the period 2013 and 2017 with top contributing threat factors of Aircraft Malfunction 32%;Maintenance events 15%; Fire / Smoke (Cockpit/Cabin/Cargo) 12% and Extensive/Uncontained Engine Failure 12%.

Controlled Flight In To Terrain (CFIT)

2.12 Although CFIT is not considered as one of the Focus Areas but rather as an Emerging Risk, the RASG-MID/6 agreed that the global priorities including CFIT should always be addressed within the its framework.

2.13 The DIP related to CIFT addresses the implementation of BPN Approach procedures to all runways not currently served by precision approach procedures. The status of the implementation is at **Appendix B**.

2.14 The RNAV approach procedures for OIMM (Mashad) and OISS (Shiraz) are completed and pending flight verification by Civil Aviation Organization (CAO) of Iran. The procedures are expected to be published during 2018.

3. ACTION BY THE MEETING

3.1 The meeting is invited to:

- a) note the information in this working paper;
- b) urge stakeholders to actively participate in MID-RAST, core activity; and
- c) assign a champion to develop SEI/DIPS SCF focus area.

APPENDIX A
Status of LOC-I Safety Initiative

| NO | Country | State Regulation | Company | Airlines Training Program | Remarks |
|----|-----------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| 1 | Bahrain | Yes | Gulf Air | Yes | |
| 2 | | | Texel Air | | |
| 3 | | | DHL Int'l | | |
| 4 | Egypt | Yes | Air Arabia Egypt | | |
| 5 | | | Almasria Universal Airlines | | |
| 6 | | | Air Cairo | | |
| 7 | | | Petroleum Air Services | | |
| 8 | | | AMC Airlines | | |
| 9 | | | Alexandria Airlines | | |
| 10 | | | Air Leisure Egypt | | |
| 11 | | | Egypt Air | | In progress |
| 12 | | | Egypt Air Express | | |
| 13 | | | Fly Egypt | | |
| 14 | | | Nile Air | | In progress |
| 15 | | | Nefertiti Airways | | |
| 16 | | | Nesma Airlines | | |
| 17 | Iran | NO | Iran Air | | |
| 18 | | | Iran Airtours | | |
| 19 | | | Mahan Air | | |
| 20 | | | Iran Aseman Airlines | | |
| 21 | | | Kish Air | | |
| 22 | | | Meraj Airlines | | |
| 23 | | | Naft Air lines | | |
| 24 | | | Taftan Air | | |
| 25 | | | Taban Air | | |
| 26 | | | ATA Air | | |
| 27 | | | Atrak Air | | |
| 28 | | | Caspian Airlines | | |
| 29 | | | Qeshm Airlines | | |
| 30 | | | Sepehran Airlines | | |
| 31 | | | Saha Airlines | | |
| 32 | | | Jam Airlines | | |
| 33 | | | Pars Air | | |
| 34 | | | Fly Qeshm | | |
| 35 | Zagros Airlines | | | | |
| 36 | Iraq | NO | Iraqi Airways | | |
| 37 | | | AlNaser Airlines | | |
| 38 | | | Zagrosjet | | |
| 39 | | | Fly Baghdad | | |
| 40 | Jordan | Yes Effective 2019 | Royal Jordanian | B787 (Yes) | A320 & Embear In progress |
| 41 | | | Fly Jordan | | |
| 42 | | | Jordan Aviation | | |
| 43 | | | Arab wings | | |
| 44 | | | Royal Wings | | |
| 45 | Kuwait | NO | Gryphon Airlines | | |
| 46 | | | Wataniya Airways | | |
| 47 | | | Jazeera Airways | | |
| 48 | Kuwait Airways | | | | |
| 49 | Lebanon | NO | Middle East Airlines | | |
| 50 | | | Wings of Lebanon | | |
| 51 | Libya | NO | Afriqiyah Airways | | |
| 52 | | | Libyan Arab Airlines | | |
| 53 | | | Buraq Air | | |
| 54 | | | Libyan wings | | |
| 55 | | | Petro Air | | |
| 56 | | | Kallat Al Saker | | |

| NO | Country | State Regulation | Company | Airlines Training Program | Remarks |
|-----|--------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|---------|
| 57 | Oman | NO | Oman Air | | |
| 58 | | | Salalah Air | | |
| 59 | | | Salam Air | | |
| 60 | | | Air Shabelle | | |
| 61 | Qatar | Yes | Qatar Airways | Yes | |
| 62 | Saudi Arabia | Yes.Effective March 2019 | Al Maha Airways | | |
| 63 | | | Alpha Star | | |
| 64 | | | Aviation Link | | |
| 65 | | | FLY Nas | | |
| 66 | | | MID East Jet | | |
| 67 | | | Nesma Airlines Saudi | | |
| 68 | | | Saudi Arabian Airlines | | |
| 69 | | | Saudi Gulf Airline | | |
| 70 | | | National Air Services | | |
| 71 | | | ARAMCO | | |
| 72 | | | Fly Prime Airlines | | |
| 73 | | | Flyadeal | | |
| 74 | Sudan | NO | Feeder Airlines | | |
| 75 | | | Badr Airlines | | |
| 76 | | | Golden wings Airline | | |
| 77 | | | Nova Airways | | |
| 78 | | | Sudan Airways | | |
| 79 | | | Tarco Air | | |
| 80 | Syria | NO | Syrianair | | |
| 81 | | | FLYDamas Airlines | | |
| 82 | | | Cham wings Airline | | |
| 83 | | | EBLA Airlines | | |
| 84 | | | Syrian Wings Airlines | | |
| 85 | UAE | Yes.Effective Sept.2018 | Abu Dhabi Aviation | | |
| 86 | | | Air Arabia | | |
| 87 | | | FlyDubai | | |
| 88 | | | Emirates | Yes | |
| 89 | | | Etihad Airways | Yes | |
| 90 | | | Al Jaber Aviation | | |
| 91 | | | Rotana Jet | | |
| 92 | | | Dubai Air wings | | |
| 93 | | | Empire Aviation Groupb | | |
| 94 | | | Falcon Aviation Service | | |
| 95 | | | Global Jet | | |
| 96 | | | Midex Airlines | | |
| 97 | | | Skylink Arabia | | |
| 98 | | | Royal Jet | | |
| 99 | | | Rizon jet | | |
| 100 | Yemen | NO | Yemania | | |
| 101 | | | Felix Airways | | |

APPENDIX B

CIFT DIP Status

| DIP | Description | Output | Deadline | Status | Comments |
|----------------|---|---|-----------------|--|--|
| CIFIT/1 | The implementation of BPN Approach procedures to all runways not currently served by precision approach procedures. | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Identify and prioritize the airports/runways, which require specific PBN approaches. 2. Concerned States, CANSO, IATA and ICAO to establish a Work Force to develop an appropriate detailed action plan for the implementation of PBN approaches at the identified airports/runways. 3. Implementation of PBN approach procedures at the identified airports/runways in accordance with their associated action plans. | Long Term | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Completed 2. On going 3. On going | <p>Runway priorities</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. OMRK 16/34 (Completed) 2. OIMM 13. procedure design completed (pending Flight Check) 3. OISS 11 /29. procedure design completed (pending Flight Check) 4. HEBA 14 5. ORMM 14/32 6. ORNI 10 (Completed) |

- END -