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Challenges

• Aerodrome capacity demand
  – Airport congestion at existing aerodromes
  – Continuing significant traffic increase in the next 15 years

• Aerodrome Safety
  – Airside accidents
  – Runway safety
  – Wildlife strikes
  – Apron safety
  – FOD
  – Obstacle control
  – …

• Challenges to accommodate new larger/type aircraft
EI by Audit Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Audit Area</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LEG</td>
<td>70.3</td>
<td>72.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORG</td>
<td>66.4</td>
<td>69.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEL</td>
<td>72.9</td>
<td>73.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPS</td>
<td>67.4</td>
<td>68.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIR</td>
<td>76.6</td>
<td>78.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIG</td>
<td>55.9</td>
<td>55.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANS</td>
<td>61.4</td>
<td>63.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGA</td>
<td>58.5</td>
<td>59.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MID - EI by Critical Element

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Critical Element</th>
<th>Effective Implementation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CE-1</td>
<td>75.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CE-2</td>
<td>72.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CE-3</td>
<td>68.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CE-4</td>
<td>56.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CE-5</td>
<td>68.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CE-6</td>
<td>69.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CE-7</td>
<td>57.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CE-8</td>
<td>52.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MID – Current EI for AGA Sub-Groups

- Legislation and regulations - AGA: 82.1%
- Organization, staffing and training - AGA: 50.81%
- Facilities, equipment and documentation: 79.81%
- Aerodrome certification - General: 64.43%
- Aerodrome manual: 68.75%
- Provision of aerodrome data and coordination: 71.17%
- Physical characteristics, facilities and equipment: 83.08%
- Aerodrome visual aids: 65.92%
- Aerodrome maintenance: 70.31%
- Safety procedures for aerodrome operations: 77.46%
- SMS/aeronautical studies/risk assessments: 36.06%
- Heliport characteristics
- Aerodrome surveillance: 45.3%
Highlights of issues identified in the AGA area

• Implementing aerodrome certification requirements
• Ensuring that aerodrome operators receiving international flights have implemented an SMS acceptable to the State
• Establishing and implementing a formal surveillance programme for certified aerodromes, with associated procedures and plans
• Establishing and implementing integrated strategies, including Runway Safety Teams, for runway incursions and collisions avoidance at aerodromes
• Establishing and implementing a quality system to ensure the accuracy, consistency, protection and integrity of aerodrome-related safety data published in the State’s AIP
Update on PANS-Aerodromes

- PANS-Aerodromes (Doc 9981)
- Eight new chapters dealing with day-to-day operations
- Consultation with States (State letter AN 4/27-18/25)
- Applicability date for PANS-Aerodromes with consequential amendments to Annex 14, Vol I and Annex 11: 5 November 2020
New provision in PANS-Aerodromes

• New Chapters for Part II
  – Training
  – Reporting format using standard runway condition report (approved)
  – Inspection of the movement area
  – Work in progress (WIP)
  – Foreign object debris (FOD) control
  – Wildlife hazard management
  – Apron safety
  – Runway safety
  – Airside driver permit scheme and vehicle/equipment
Runway Safety
Assembly Resolution A37-6

• Urges States to take measures to enhance runway safety
  – Runway excursion
  – Runway incursion
  – Other occurrences related to runway safety
• Establishment of runway safety programmes using a multidisciplinary approach
  – Regulators
  – Aircraft operators
  – ANSP
  – Aerodrome operators
  – Aircraft manufacturers
Overview of ICAO Documentation

- Annexes 2, 6, 8, 11, 13, 14, 15, 19
- PANS-ATM (Doc 4444); PANS-OPS (Doc 8168); PANS-Aerodromes (Doc 9981)
- Guidance material in ICAO manuals and circulars, such as
  - Manual on the Prevention of Runway Incursions (Doc 9870)
  - Manual on Simultaneous Operations on Parallel or Near-Parallel Instrument Runways (SOIR) (Doc 9643)
  - Aerodrome Design Manual, Part 4, Visual Aids (Doc 9157)
  - Circular 329 Runway Surface Condition Assessment, Measurement and Reporting
  - Safety Management Manual (SMM) (Doc 9859)
Overview of ICAO Documentation (cont’d)

• Runway Safety Team Handbook 2nd Edition
• Runway Excursion Risk Reduction Toolkit (ICAO/IATA)
• ICAO Runway Safety Toolkit (ICAO / Embry-Riddle Aeronautical University)
• Runway Safety Go-Team Methodology
• Runway Incursion Severity Classification (RISC) (ICAO / FAA)
New ICAO Provisions

- RESA and arresting systems
- Taxiway design
- Visual aids, including autonomous runway incursion warning systems (ARIWS)
- Global Reporting Format (GRF) for assessing and reporting runway surface conditions
RESA and arresting systems

• Strengthened requirement for the provision of RESA
  – all types of runways are required to be provided with RESA, including non-instrument runways with code numbers 1 and 2
• The introduction of arresting systems in relation to the provision of RESA offers additional mitigating measures to address aircraft overruns
  – the length of a RESA may be reduced where an arresting system is installed, subject to acceptance by the State
• Requirement for publishing RESA and arresting system information in the AIP
Taxiway Design

- New taxiway design guidance for minimizing potential for runway incursions
  - limit the number of runway crossings
  - provide pilots with enhanced unobstructed view of the entire runway
  - correct taxiways identified as hot spots
Visual Aids

• Enhanced taxiway centre line markings and mandatory instruction signs
• Mandatory requirement, as of 26 November 2026, for increased conspicuity of runway-holding positions
• Autonomous runway incursion warning systems (ARIWS)
Figure 5-8. Runway-holding position markings

*Note.— Patterns A1 and B1 are no longer valid after 2026.*
Autonomous runway incursion warning system (ARIWS)

• Not mandatory
• If installed, it shall be compliant with the location and characteristics provisions in Annex 14, Volume I
• Standardized visual aids – runway status lights (RWSL)
• Procedures in PANS-ATM (Doc 4444) for flight crew and vehicle drivers in the event of an ARIWS warning
5.3.30 Runway status lights

*Introductory Note.—* Runway status lights (RWSL) is a type of autonomous runway incursion warning system (ARIWS). The two basic visual components of RWSL are runway entrance lights (RELs) and take-off hold lights (THLs). Either may be installed by itself, but the two components are designed to be complementary to each other.
Notes:

(1) 7 1/2 centreline light spacings.

(2) Preferably the THL is positioned such that a line formed by two THL lights will be near the mid-point between two centreline lights.

(3) If the runway centreline lights are offset from the physical centreline, the THL lights are similarly offset to maintain the 1.8 m dimension.
Global reporting format for runway surface conditions

• A globally-harmonized methodology for runway surface condition assessment and reporting
• Covers several Annexes, two PANS and some guidance materials.
• Aimed at reducing runway excursions
• Applicable on 5 November 2020
Global reporting format for runway surface conditions

- Annex 14, Volume I
  - requires the aerodrome operator undertake an *in-situ* assessment of the runway surface conditions
  - Using Runway Condition Assessment Matrix (RCAM) and runway condition code (Rwyccc, ranging from 0 to 6)
Global reporting format for runway surface conditions

- PANS-Aerodromes
  - contains procedures on the assignment of the RWYCC in accordance with the RCAM

- Annex 8
  - contains provisions requiring aircraft manufacturers to use the matrix to determine what data to provide to aeroplane operators and how to calculate the aeroplane performance for specific surface conditions
Global reporting format for runway surface conditions

• Annex 6
  – Flight crew, using the matrix information supplied by the airport and the associated manufacturer-provided performance data, to conduct performance calculation and to determine if the aircraft can safely land with the conditions present at the airport
Global reporting format for runway surface conditions

• Annex 15
  – Methodology to distribute the runway surface condition information through a revised SNOWTAM format

• Annex 3
  – the reporting of the state of the runway in the METAR/SPECI will no longer be required
Global reporting format for runway surface conditions

• PANS-ATM
  – Alignment and addition of air-ground radiotelephony phraseologies which correlate with the use of the associated terms proposed in Annex 14, Volume I and other documents
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Runway condition code</th>
<th>Runway surface description</th>
<th>Assessment criteria</th>
<th>Downgrade assessment criteria</th>
<th>Pilot report of runway braking action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>DRY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>FROST</td>
<td></td>
<td>Braking deceleration is normal for the wheel braking effort applied AND directional control is normal.</td>
<td>GOOD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WET (The runway surface is covered by any visible dampness or water up to and including 3 mm depth)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SLUSH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DRY SNOW</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WET SNOW</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Up to and including 3 mm depth:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-15°C and Lower outside air temperature:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Braking deceleration OR directional control is between Good and Medium.</td>
<td>GOOD TO MEDIUM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>COMPACTED SNOW</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>WET (&quot;slippery wet&quot; runway)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Braking deceleration is noticeably reduced for the wheel braking effort applied OR directional control is noticeably reduced.</td>
<td>MEDIUM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DRY SNOW or WET SNOW (any depth) ON TOP OF COMPACTED SNOW</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>More than 3 mm depth:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DRY SNOW</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WET SNOW</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Higher than -15°C outside air temperature¹:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>COMPACTED SNOW</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>More than 3 mm depth of water or slush:</td>
<td></td>
<td>Braking deceleration OR directional control is between Medium and Poor.</td>
<td>MEDIUM TO POOR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>STANDING WATER</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SLUSH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>ICE ²</td>
<td></td>
<td>Braking deceleration is significantly reduced for the wheel braking effort applied OR directional control is significantly reduced.</td>
<td>POOR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>WET ICE ²</td>
<td></td>
<td>Braking deceleration is minimal to nonexistent for the wheel braking effort applied OR directional control is uncertain.</td>
<td>LESS THAN POOR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WATER ON TOP OF COMPACTED SNOW ²</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DRY SNOW or WET SNOW ON TOP OF ICE ²</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

26-28 March 2019, duration 2.5 days, at ICAQ HQ, Montréal, Canada.

www.icao.int/Meetings/grf2019
Global Symposium

- ICAO Global Reporting Format (GRF) Implementation Symposium: *Improving runway safety through the assessment and reporting of runway surface conditions*
- Montreal 26-28 March 2019
- Aims
  - Introduction for regulators and industry on the system and Format for the Runway Condition Report
  - Increase awareness and knowledge
  - Understand ICAO SARPS, training needs and capacity
  - Awareness of implementation challenges
  - Exchange of best practice
- Supported by training workshop
- Follow-up through regional seminars in 2019-2020
Ongoing Work at ICAO

- PANS-Aerodromes (Doc 9981)
  - Dedicated chapter on Runway Safety
  - Several other Chapters contributing to runway safety, in the areas of FOD control, inspection of the movement area and work in progress, etc..
Ongoing Work at ICAO (cont’d)

- More guidance on for the implementation of GRF for assessing and reporting of runway surface conditions
- To be ready for the global symposium on GRF in March 2019
Wildlife Hazard Management
Presence of wildlife on and in the vicinity of aerodromes poses a serious threat to aircraft operational safety.

Wildlife strikes can cause accidents and serious incidents, costing the aviation industry billions in losses due to aircraft damage, flight delays and other operational impacts.

ICAO has been undertaking various initiatives to help States reduce wildlife strike hazard to aviation.

Wildlife Strike Hazard Reduction is an ICAO Safety initiative
ICAO/ACI Wildlife Strike Hazard Reduction Symposium (May 2017)

• Increase the international awareness of the wildlife strike hazard to aircraft operational safety;
• Build an international community to exchange ideas, experiences and cooperative efforts;
• Advance new technologies; and
• Formulate effective strategies in preventing and mitigating the risk of wildlife strikes to aircraft.
International awareness

- Use of the ICAO Bird/Wildlife Strike Information System (IBIS)
- 97,751 reports were received from 91 States on strikes occurring in 105 States and territories
- 2,501 reports had an indication of an effect on the flight:
  - precautionary landings (49%); and
  - aborted take offs (28%).

- Day: 68%
- Dusk: 4%
- Night: 25%
- Dawn: 3%
- Unknown: 1%
Outputs of the Wildlife Symposium - Importance of cooperation

- Involvement of multiple stakeholders;
- Importance of Local Runway Safety Team;
- Focus on Airport Wildlife Committee;
- Importance to work with local communities.
Outputs of the Wildlife Symposium - Strengthening regulatory requirements

• Need of appropriate legislation and regulation;
• Importance of a national procedure for recording and reporting wildlife strikes;
• An ongoing evaluation of the hazard by competent personnel.
Outputs of the Wildlife Symposium - Use of new technology

• More effective habitat management;
• Possibility to inform go/ no-go advice from the tower and decisions by pilots;
• Newer and better technologies to disperse birds away from aerodromes.
Effective strategies in preventing and mitigating the risk

• No one-size-fits-all solution for all states
  – Wildlife control programme based on a wildlife survey of the airport and local area;
  – Risk assessment based on the probability and severity of strikes by the types of birds and wildlife found at the airport;
  – Control measures to be weighed and evaluated against the requirements;

• Production of a Wildlife Hazard Management Programme
PANS-Aerodromes (Doc 9981) objectives

• To have a wildlife safety risk assessment being conducted, covering the aerodrome and its vicinity;
• To establish a Wildlife Hazard Management Programme (WHMP) tailored to the local environment and be commensurate with the wildlife safety risk assessment;
• To include within the WHMP, procedures and measures for reducing the wildlife risk at the aerodrome to an acceptable level.
• To integrate wildlife hazard reduction measures and procedures into the aerodrome operator’s safety management system (SMS).
Ongoing work at ICAO

- Review of the Airport Services manual - Part 3 “Wildlife Hazard Management” (new title)
- Objectives:
  - to be consistent with the new PANS-Aerodromes;
  - to reflect the evolution and current practices pertaining to wildlife hazards management;
  - To include guidance on training.
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Ongoing work at ICAO (Cont’d)

- Review of the Manual on the ICAO Bird/wildlife Strike information system (Doc 9332)
- To be consistent with the new ECCAIRS platform
- An ICAO reporting portal is under development
- A dedicated email address to send report: wildlife@icao.int
More information will be provided:

https://www.icao.int/ibis
THANK YOU!

29 October 2018

Aerodrome SMS workshop, Cairo, 27-29 November 2018