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1. **Need for a NOTAM service:**

   “Before publishing procedures based on SBAS signals, a State is expected to provide a status monitoring and NOTAM system. […] a mathematical service volume model is to be used.”

2. **Notification timeliness:**

   “For scheduled events, notification should be given to the NOTAM authority at least 72 hours prior to the event. For unscheduled events, notification to the NOTAM authority should be given within 15 minutes. Notification should be given for events of 15 minutes, or longer, duration”
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The European Concept for GNSS NOTAM

- Discussions at **European Level** started in 2006 with the objective to define a **harmonized approach**.

**The European Concept for GNSS NOTAM** covers GPS RAIM and EGNOS NOTAM. For EGNOS NOTAM:

- EGNOS NOTAM will indicate periods of time at an airport when **EGNOS is predicted not to be available to support RNAV (GNSS) approach to LPV minima**.
- The criteria for EGNOS to support LPV apply for **EGNOS to support LNAV/VNAV in case the local supervisory authority allows** the use of EGNOS for approaches to LNAV/VNAV minima.
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The EGNOS NOTAM generation chain

1. **Detection:** An event at EGNOS and/or GPS system level is detected.

2. **Prediction and formatting:** impact of the event on the user performances is assessed and, if a service unavailability is predicted, it is formatted in an EGNOS NOTAM Proposal.

3. **Transmission:** The EGNOS NOTAM proposal is distributed to the concerned NOTAM Office (NOF). Transmission can be done directly or through EAD via AFTN.

4. **Validation & Publication:** The NOTAM Office validates the EGNOS NOTAM proposal correctness and creates and distributes the EGNOS NOTAM
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The EGNOS NOTAM Proposals Provision

• Entry into service:
  March 2\textsuperscript{nd}, 2011 (EGNOS SoL Service declaration).

• Current Operations concept (\textit{Service Level 4– January 1st 2014}):
  - \textbf{Scheduled events}: EGNOS and GPS system \textit{planned maintenance activities} updated weekly (at minimum).
  - \textbf{Unscheduled events}: \textit{unscheduled GNSS system events} updated upon change.
    - \textbf{H24 7/7}: Unscheduled EGNOS+GPS system assets status changes are detected and assessed in near-real time (few minutes delay).

\textbf{NOTIFICATION DELAY: 2 HOURS MAXIMUM.}
The EGNOS NOTAM Proposals Provision -Evolution Roadmap-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GNSS events</th>
<th>Notification Timeliness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SL1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scheduled</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unscheduled (Working hours)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16 hours max.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unscheduled (Non-working hours)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16 hours max.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FINAL TARGET** (Service Level 5): H24 service with minute level reaction time to unscheduled GNSS system events.
Real-time interface with EGNOS system

- **Dedicated real-time interface with EGNOS system**, providing the following information to the EURONOTAM tool:
  - GPS PRN list and EGNOS GEO PRN list.
  - EGNOS RIMS stations list
  - GPS satellite status
  - EGNOS GEO satellite status
  - EGNOS RIMS stations status
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Information delivered on connection and UPON CHANGE

Unscheduled GNSS system events (*ICAO recommendation*)
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## Coverage of events

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>COVERED</th>
<th>Notification Timeliness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ionospheric Activity</td>
<td>Scheduled</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unscheduled</td>
<td>NO*</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIMS status (including network cuts)</td>
<td>Scheduled</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>72 h advance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unscheduled</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>2h (H24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPS constellation</td>
<td>Scheduled</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>72 h advance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unscheduled</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>2h (H24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGNOS GEO status</td>
<td>Scheduled</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>72 h advance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unscheduled</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>2h (H24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGNOS SIS to OS/test mode</td>
<td>Scheduled</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>72 h advance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unscheduled</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>2h (H24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPS satellites to NM/DU</td>
<td>Scheduled</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unscheduled</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td>2h (H24)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Contingency/Warning NOTAMs

- Warning NOTAMs are currently applicable as part of ESSP Contingency Communication to ANSPs (ESSP-MEMO-7562)
- Sent in case a contingency situation is declared and impacts an airport with EGNOS based procedure(s) published.

Q) LFBB/QGAXX/I/NBO/A/000/999/4100N00200E005
A) LFBO
B) 1204170000
C) 1204232359
D) 0000-2359

E) 00xx/12 NOTAMPN
“BE AWARE OF POTENTIAL EGNOS UNAVAILABILITIES:
- LPV flight planning still possible
- For more information, please refer to XXXX1”

Under discussion
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Airport data exchange procedure update

• ESSP has developed a **User Application to simplify the process and increase automation** (lower risk of human error):
  o **ANSP side**: File with airport/procedure data **automatically generated**
  o **ESSP side**: File import at Euronotam tool level **automatic**

• Exchange process: **ANSP to send file to ESSP** - CRC protected

**Applicability of new procedure**: Q2 2014.
EGNOS NOTAM Proposals for RNP 0.3 routes

- ESSP is investigating the possibility to provide EGNOS NOTAM proposals for RNP 0.3 routes (Ref: ICAO Doc 9613 (PBN manual), Volume II, Chapter 7)

7.2.1 NAVAID infrastructure considerations

The RNP 0.3 specification is based upon GNSS; its implementation is not dependent on the availability of SBAS. DME/DME based RNAV systems will not be capable of consistently providing RNP 0.3 performance, and States should not plan on implementing RNP 0.3 operations through application of DME/DME-based navigation. States must also not use RNP 0.3 in areas of known navigation signal (GNSS) interference. Operators relying on GNSS are required to have the means to predict the availability of GNSS fault detection (e.g. ABAS RAIM) to support operations along the RNP 0.3 ATS route. The on-board RNP system, GNSS avionics, the ANSP or other entities may provide a prediction capability. The AIP should clearly indicate when prediction capability is required and acceptable means to satisfy that requirement. This prediction will not be required where the navigation equipment can make use of SBAS augmentation and the planned operation will be contained within the service volume of the SBAS signal.

Note. — Should the State permit the operator of an SBAS-equipped aircraft to disregard the requirement for a RAIM prediction when the RNP 0.3 operation occurs in an SBAS service area, then it is recommended the State consider establishing a requirement for that operator to check SBAS NOTAMS prior to the flight to ensure the availability of the SBAS SIS.
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EGNOS NOTAM Proposals for RNP 0.3 routes

- ESSP is investigating the possibility to provide EGNOS NOTAM proposals for RNP 0.3 routes (Ref: ICAO Doc 9613 (PBN manual), Volume II, Chapter 7)

- **Drivers:**
  - Scalable service – available to all EWA signatories
  - Flexible
    - Route lengths/turns
    - Text adaptable
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AI OPS discussion: supporting documents

- **ESSP will provide comments** to Eurocontrol (mainly to RAISG2 – WP1):
  - Ongoing discussions are linked only to contingency NOTAMs
  - Iono related degradations:
    - Inability to predict iono related degradations is not a limitation of a service volume (intrinsic to the nature of these degradations).
    - Operational impact of observed iono degradations not discussed.
  - Service volumes have demonstrated to be fully capable of estimating EGNOS performance degradations
  - FAA approach for GNSS NOTAM to be reviewed
EGNOS
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