## **GBAS FOR ATCO** June 2017



visible sat

= 12

#### Disclaimer

This presentation is for information purposes only. It should not be relied on as the sole source of information, and should always be used in the context of other authoritative sources and the relevant regulations.

Most Images or graphics are obtained from internet for non-profit making public educational purpose only. Should the owner of the image/graphic found the use of the image/ graphic not appropriate, please inform Mr. Lam via <u>jcclam@cad.gov.hk</u> to remove the image/ graphic from this presentation.

# CONTENT

- Review the Basis of GNSS
- GBAS Operational Overview
- Advantages of GBAS
- Info for ATCO
- Q&A

### **Time Difference**

The GPS receiver compares the time a signal was transmitted by a satellite with the time it was received. The time difference tells the GPS receiver how far away the satellite is.



536 miles

**Velocity x Time = Distance** 

Radio waves travel at the speed of light, roughly 186,000 miles per second (mps)

427 miles

536 miles

A27 miles

536 miles

# **ATOMIC CLOCKS**

**GPS satellites** use Atomic Clocks for accuracy, but because of the expense, most GPS receivers do not.



Solution: GPS software uses a fourth satellite to provide a cross check in the trilateration process and update the internal clock simultaneously.

- > Satellite navigation system or satellite constellation support GNSS
  - → GPS (United States): GPS was the first GNSS system. GPS was launched in the late 1970s by the United States Department of Defense. As of Feb., 2016 there are 32 satellites in the GPS constellation.
  - → GLONASS (Russia): GLONASS is operated by the Russian government. The GLONASS constellation is officially completed in 2015 (since 1996) which consists of 24 satellites and provides global coverage.
  - Galileo (European Union): Galileo is a civil GNSS system operated by the European Global Navigation Satellite Systems Agency (GSA). As of December 2016 the system has 18 of 30 satellites in orbit. Galileo started offering Early Operational Capability (EOC) on 15 December 2016 and is expected to reach Full Operational Capability (FOC) in 2019. The complete 30-satellite Galileo system (24 operational and 6 active spares) is expected by 2020.
  - → BeiDou (China): BeiDou is the Chinese navigation satellite system. The system will consist of 35 satellites. A regional service became operational in December of 2012. As of 2016, 23 satellites were launched. BeiDou is expected to provide global coverage by end of 2020.

## BASIS OF GNSS – 4 GNSS ERROR SOURCES



Image courtesy of www.novatel.com

## **GNSS ERROR SOURCES**

Contributing Source	Error Range
Satellite Clocks	±2 m
Orbit Errors	±2.5 m
Inospheric Delays	±5 m
Tropospheric Delays	±0.5 m
<b>Receiver Noise</b>	±0.3 m
Multipath	±1 m

Data courtesy of www.novatel.com

## **RESOLVING THE PROBLEM**

Image courtesy of FAA



### **Satellite Based Augmentation Systems (SBAS)**

FAA-Wide Area Augmentation System (WAAS); EASA-European Geostationary Navigation Overlay Service (EGNOS); JCAB-MTSAT Satellite Based Augmentation Navigation System (MSAS); India-GPS-Aided GEO Augmented Navigation System (GAGAN); Russia-System for Differential Corrections and Monitoring (SDCM); China-SNAS (Satellite Navigation Augmentation System)

### **Ground Based Augmentation Systems (GBAS)**

FAA-Local Area Augmentation System (LAAS); ICAO-GBAS Landing System (GLS)

## **BASIS OF GNSS – 5 RESOLVING THE PROBLEM**

Contributing Source	Error Range				
Satellite Clocks	±2 m	Typical error budget (in metres)			
		Per satellite accuracy	Standard GPS	Differential GPS	
Orbit Errors	±2.5 m	Satellite clocks Orbit errors Ionosphere Troposphere Selective availability* Receiver noise Multi-path (reflections) <i>Typical positioning accuracy</i> Horizontal	$ \begin{array}{c} 1.5\\ 2.5\\ 5.0\\ 0.5\\ 30.0\\ 0.3\\ 0.6\\ \end{array} $	0 0 0.4 0.2 0 0.3 0.6	
Inospheric Delays	±5 m				
Tropospheric Delays	±0.5 m		50.0 78.0	1.3	
<b>Receiver Noise</b>	±0.3 m	3D       93.0       2.8         *Note: Selective Availability error is shown to demonstrate the effectiveness of the DGPS technique, although the Selective Availability restriction has now been removed.			
Multipath	±1 m	·······			

## **GBAS - OPERATIONAL OVERVIEW** LAND SECTION









Ground Facilities: GPS Reference Receivers (RR)











Image courtesy of Honeywell



Image courtesy of Honeywell

## **GBAS** - OPERATIONAL OVERVIEW ONBOARD EQUIPMENT SECTION



GLS – GPS Landing System ILS – Instrument Landing System

- ILS look alike
  - Glideslope
  - Localizer

#### 737-07-XX

July XX, 2007

#### GLOBAL POSITIONING LANDING SYSTEM (GLS) PROCEDURES

This bulletin describes aircraft systems and procedures for GLS approaches.

The initial aircraft to obtain this system will be the Continental Micronesia 737-800's. Installation will commence at the end of June, with flight procedures to begin in the fall timeframe.

The aircraft will have the following physical differences on the flight deck:

 Multi-Mode Navigation Control Panel. This is visually identical to the panel currently installed in the 500's. The difference is the ability to select GLS frequencies.

Multi - Mode Navigation Control (If Installed)



- Active (ACT) Mode and Frequency Indicator Indicates the active mode and frequency.
- Transfer Switch

Image courtesy of Honeywell

## **GBAS** - OPERATIONAL OVERVIEW ONBOARD EQUIPMENT SECTION

ILS look-alike architecture

Similar guidance mode and flight control laws



Image courtesy of Airbus

## **GBAS** - OPERATIONAL OVERVIEW ONBOARD EQUIPMENT SECTION

### Introduction Airbus xLS concept



## **ADVANTAGES OF GBAS - GENERAL**

- Increased airport capacity: GBAS unlocks capacity constraints
  - Offers precision approach where ILS cannot due to geography
  - Relatively more flexible design criteria on approach and potentially for departure
  - Eliminates ILS sensitive/ critical area
- Lower life-cycle cost: GBAS is more cost efficient than ILS
  - For multiple runway, One GBAS system serves all runway ends, initial acquisition cost is lower
  - Lower maintenance cost
  - Lower flight inspection cost
- Safety: GBAS improves safety
  - Offers precision approach where ILS cannot due to geography
  - Signal stability (immune to signal interference inherent in ILS)
- Reduced noise/shorter routes: Variable glide slopes, RNAV/RNP to GLS finals
  - Airlines benefit: fuel and emission savings, increased schedule flexibility, avoid
     noise violations
  - Airports benefit: increased capacity and schedule flexibility, improved community relations

## ADVANTAGES OF GBAS - ATC Image

Image courtesy of Honeywell

#### GBAS: Programmable Touchdown Points and Path

ILS: single defined vertical path, same touchdown point on runway
 SmartPath GBAS: multiple touchdown points and glide slope combinations



GBAS: Programmable Touchdown Points and Path



**GBAS** Offset Approaches



#### RNP + GBAS GLS: Enabling Maximum Efficiency



#### **Multiple Concurrent Operations**



**ILS Clear Zones** 





## **INFO FOR ATCO-OTHER ISSUES**



# THERM YBVI



Committed to a Safe, Efficient and Sustainable Air Transport System



