



Australian Government
Civil Aviation Safety Authority



GBAS/SBAS IMPLEMENTATION CHALLENGES

System Development Certification and Implementation

Jorge Woods – CASA - Australia

INTRODUCTION

- focus = CHALLENGES
- layout
 - GENERAL CHALLENGES
 - GBAS
 - SBAS
 - RELATED NAV/SUR

GENERAL CHALLENGES

- GAIN SUPPORT FROM PAYING USERS
- SYNCHRONISED ACTION OF STAKEHOLDERS
- OVERCOMING UNFAMILIARITY w/TECHNOLOGY

GBAS – Background

- 2000s = Company developing first-of-type GBAS
- FAA starts certification of such GBAS
- FAA team structured around two broad areas:
 - Compliance with the relevant standards.
 - Novel technical risk.

GBAS – Addressing the challenges (1)

➤ Priorities Reorganized

- Australian airline = strong supporter
- Airservices = ANSP = strong interest
- CASA supports

➤ Most effective/efficient

- Small Regulatory Team observes FAA certification
- Small Professional Team leads implementation

GBAS – Addressing the challenges (2)

- Activities beyond FAA system certification
 - Local ionospheric study
 - TIFP Design and Validation
 - Airline Staff Training, Airline Operational Approval
 - ANSP Staff Training, ANSP Operational Approval
 - General Industry Education and Communication

- Result = Regulator, ANSP and Users cooperated along journey to ‘commissioning’

SBAS – Background (1)

➤ SBAS expected to:

- Enhance vertical positioning of aircraft
- Reduce Risk of Controlled Flight Into Terrain (CFIT)
- Benefit regional airports that may never be equipped with Instrument Landing System (ILS)

SBAS – Background (2)

➤ SBAS Expected to:

- Mitigate against ILS outages
- Improve ability to land in a greater range of conditions particularly where cloud cover is low or visibility compromised
- Reduce delays, diversions, and cancellations with benefits to aircraft operation costs and passenger time lost

SBAS – Addressing the challenges (1)

- SBAS will service sectors other than aviation
- Aviation will not be the main user
- Government decides to acquire a SBAS
 - Geoscience Australia = lead
 - Airservices Australia = ANSP
 - CASA = Safety Regulator
 - Program Executive Board + Technical Leadership and Assurance Groups

SBAS – Addressing the challenges (2)

- PRN and service name = reserved
- SBAS Test Bed to verify:
 - availability, accuracy, integrity and coverage
 - compatibility of SBAS signals with current generation avionics in operational context.
 - safety/efficiency benefits of SBAS instrument approach procedures

SBAS – Addressing the challenges (3)

- SBAS Test Bed Challenges:
 - Suitably equipped aircraft
 - TIFP designed
 - TIFP Design Organisation
 - Fly on VMC

SBAS – Addressing the challenges (4)

➤ CASA Approval Process:

- CASA will observe process from the beginning
- Safety Cases to be presented progressively
- Safety Cases => solid results; traceable application of tailored Systems Engineering Process (SEP)

SBAS – Addressing the challenges (5)

➤ CASA Approval Process (ctd):

- Systems Engineering Process (SEP):
 - tailored to maturity of final product/service
 - starting with top level performance needs
 - cradle to grave, fully integrating, stakeholders, criteria, disciplines, etc.
 - as advised by ICAO Global ATM Operational Concept Annex F (Doc 9854)

SBAS – Addressing the challenges (6)

- Activities expected beyond ‘system’ certification (similar to GBAS):
 - TIFP Design and Validation
 - Airline Staff Training, Airline Operational Approval
 - ANSP Staff Training, ANSP Operational Approval
 - General Industry Education and Communication

- Expected Result = Regulator, ANSP and Users cooperate along journey to ‘commissioning’

RELATED NAV/SUR (1)

➤ GNSS/ADS-B Mandate Challenges

- Note: This is not an SBAS mandate.
- See below

➤ Navigation Rationalization Project:

- industry support
- joint action,
- overcoming unfamiliarity

RELATED NAV/SUR (1)

- Backup Navaid Network (BNN) Challenges:
 - forecast evolution of overall navigation system
 - weaknesses to back-up against
 - most/effective backup

- PBN Challenges:
 - Not be a mere overlay of the past

WRAP UP

➤ GENERAL CHALLENGES

- GAIN SUPPORT FROM PAYING USERS
- SYNCHRONISED ACTION OF STAKEHOLDERS
- OVERCOMING UNFAMILIARITY w/TECHNOLOGY
- CONSIDER GBAS/SBAS WITHIN BROADER ATM/CNS SYSTEM

END

SPARE SLIDES

SBAS – Test Bed Characteristics (1)

➤ SBAS Test Bed:

- began transmitting an RTCA/DO-229D-compliant L1 SBAS signal on the 1 June 2017,
- uses Message Type 0 (MT0) instead of MT2 for fast corrections to prevent users engaged in Safety-of-Life (SoL) operations from using the service.

SBAS – Test Bed Characteristics (2)

➤ SBAS Test Bed:

- uses PRN 122 (temporary allocation ended in 31 Jan 2019, subsequently extended to 31 Jan 2020)
- SBAS Service Provider ID (SPID) of 8, the first spare SPID in Appendix B, Section 3.5.4.4.1 of Annex 10.
- MT27 is used instead of MT28.

SBAS – Test Bed Characteristics (3)

➤ SBAS Test Bed (ctd)

- began transmitting Dual Frequency Multi Constellation (DFMC) L5 SBAS based on the draft ICD v1.4 developed by the SBAS Interoperability Working Group.
- The DFMC service makes use of all available Galileo E1 and E5a signals, and GPS L1 ranging signals.

SBAS – Test Bed Characteristics (4)

➤ SBAS Test Bed (ctd)

- due to the low number of L5-capable satellites deployed in the GPS constellation, the testbed relies on a L1/L2 codeless method to perform the ionosphere-free solution.

SBAS – Test Bed Characteristics (5)

➤ SBAS Test Bed (ctd)

- clock and ephemerides corrections are broadcast on L5.
- transmits Precise Point Positioning (PPP) corrections on L1 and L5 for non-aviation applications using a proprietary format developed by GMV.