

International Coordinating Council of Aerospace Industries Associations

# **Preventing Runway Excursions**

# Technical solutions From the Design and Manufacturing Sector

By Claude Lelaie

ICAO Global Runway Safety Summit



## Today, main cause of accidents is Runway Excursion

#### Main factors of Runway Overrun at landing

- No approved in-flight realistic operational landing distance
- Stabilization not achieved at 1000/500 ft
- Wind shift at low altitude
- Approach becoming unstable at low altitude
- Long flare
- Long derotation
- Late selection of engine thrust reversers
- Runway friction coefficient lower than expected
- Late/weak manual braking (w/o or after AB disc)
- Failure affecting the landing distances

#### A vast majority of overruns at landing is avoidable



For Runway Excursion Risk, only a combined prevention approach should be effective As it was for CFIT and Mid-Air collisions



#### International Coordinating Council of Aerospace Industries Associations



Like E-GPWS & TCAS, on-board technology will be key to mitigate Runway Excursion Risk But clear different design intents exist



### Smartlanding<sup>™</sup> is a Honeywell function of the E-GPWS:

- Monitoring A/C speed and position vs. runway threshold
- Providing visual/aural annunciations to enhance crew awareness of unstabilized approach
- Based on tuning defined by Honeywell (speed, glideslope) or set by airlines (long landing distance)



As considered as a "non interferent" function, no demonstration is requested by FAA on Smartlanding<sup>™</sup> tuning relevance



## A bit more on Airbus Runway Overrun Prevention System (ROPS)





A bit more on Airbus Runway Overrun Prevention System (ROPS)

- EASA consideration for ROPS certification
  - Request to demonstrate the relevance of ROPS alerts and protections (no unprotected area, no undue conservatism)
  - Principle: "If no ROW alert before decision point Then, thanks to ROP, no runway excursion While no significant increase of go-around rate"
- Translation into ROPS design objectives
  - Continuous real time performance computation of predicted and remaining realistic operational landing distance
  - Compare in real time with runway end
  - Trigger, only when necessary, simple and clear alerts with simple SOP
  - Guarantee both reliability and not excessive margins
  - Ensure consistency with FAA TALPA rule and computation philosophy
  - Avoid any additional tuning by airline
  - In obvious complement of the necessary need to fly stable approach



International Coordinating Council of Aerospace Industries Associations

# Conclusion

- Despite clear different design intent, easy-to-install flight deck solutions exist
- A significant fleet coverage is needed to achieve widespread safety benefit