























Overview

MIDANPIRG wants to help MID states improve their air navigation plans and make air travel safer. This goal is in alignment with the Global Air Navigation Plan (GANP), Global Aviation Safety Plan (GASP), and ICAO No Country Left Behind (NCLB)

MIDANPIRG aims to achieve this by assisting MID states in implementing regional air navigation plans (ANPs) and eliminating air navigation deficiencies.

To achieve this goal, MIDANPIRG has established a program called the MIDANPIRG Enhancement Program (MEP).









03.

Methodology & Output

04.

Progress

05.

Measuring effectiveness & efficiency















01. MIDANPIRG Challenges

- A. Low implementation level of several priority 1 ASBU elements/ threads
- B. Slow resolution of ANS deficiencies and development of associated corrective action plan (CAPs)
- C. Low implementation of some MIDANPIRG Conclusions and Decisions
- D. Low reporting level by States
- E. Difficulties in implementing regional projects/ initiatives
- F. Response to day to day operational matters in a timely manner.













02. MEP Initiative

- A. Objective: Improvement of aviation safety standards, together with making the airspace more efficient and increasing air navigation capacity building capacity and making the region sustainable.
- B. Scope: covers all ANS areas (ATM, AIM, CNS, MET, PANS-OPS, SAR) and AGA, the MEP targets the ICAO MID accredited States (15 States)
- C. Value: Better resource utilization, exchange knowledge and experience, access to international resources, support operational improvements, maximizing safety, capacity and overall system efficiency, establishment of operational and economic incentives.
- D. Partners: MIDANPIRG, ICAO, IATA, CANSO







03. MEP Methodology

To develop an action plan in a prioritized manner.







Define MEP Objectives and Scope

States' Needs Gathering (5 sources)

- 1. USOAP ANS including PPQs
- 2. GANP & NANP including MID AN Report 2021
- 3. ANS Deficiencies
- 4. Regional Projects
- 5. Day to day operational matters

Data Analysis

- .. Identification State strengths and weakness
- Identification and classification of each deficiency root causes (political, technical, financial, organizational)

Propose action and conduct feasibility study for each deficiency

training courses, sharing procedures, states missions, coordination with champion states to support ,...etc

Development of action plan in a prioritized manner

Development of action plan in coordination with recipient State











MEP Methodology Output

Area		ANS	AGA	AIM	ATM	CNS	MET	PAN	S-OPS	
*Class of Root Cause(s):										
P: Political O: Organization			nal T: Technical			F: Financial				
No	Challe	nge		Root cause(s)		Class*	Proposed	Priority	Remarks	
							Solution (s)			





02







04. Progress

Mar 23 and ongoing

Sept 22 – Feb 23

Pending action items and support activities

Consideration reaching more member states.

Benchmarking activities.

Work on the items and activities, and support successful closure.

July -August 22

01

Approaching Member States

Three member states, Sudan, Yemen and Iraq have been approached, and several one on one meetings are being provided.

A total of 14 action items have been identified, out of which 4 have been closed, and the others are in progress.

Extension of support activities have been 66, that includes trainings, technical consultations, training documentations/guidance and technical information.

Developed training need template suitable to understand states training needs

Data Collection, In-depth Analysis and setting of Methodology

Three meetings conducted with the ICAO MID Office, to establish a plan of action (6 action items closed)













05. Measuring the effectiveness and efficiency of the MEP (KPIs)

- A. Increase the level of ASBU implementation (baseline is **58%**) *Increased to 61.4%*
- B. Increase the level of implementation of MIDANPIRG Conclusions and Decisions
- C. Decrease the number of identified ANS deficiencies through mitigating the root causes to the extent possible (baseline: **105** deficiencies exist, majority of them has no CAPs) decreased to **101** deficiencies, additional State "Iraq" submitted CAPs
- D. Respond to operational matters in a timely manner.













ICAO DGCA-MID/6 meeting

DGCA-MID/6 CONCLUSION 6/4: MIDANPIRG ENHANCEMENT PROGRAMME That,

- a) the MIDANPIRG Enhancement Programme (MEP) is established to improve the level of implementation of the MID Air Navigation Plan (ANP) provisions and eliminate the reported Air Navigation deficiencies, through the collaboration of States and stakeholders for the provision of necessary assistance to the States in need.
- b) States provide the ICAO MID Office with the contact details of their nominated MEP Focal Point; and
- c) States and stakeholders support the achievement of the MEP objectives.













ICAO DGCA-MID/6 meeting

- > Follow-up State Letter sent (ME 6 22/301 dated 8 December 2022)
- ➤ Replies received from six (6) States (Bahrain, Egypt, Jordan, Saudi Arabia, Syria and UAE)
- The meeting is invited to urge States, have not yet done so, to nominate MEP focal point(s).













MIDANPIRG Chairman Award

- Annual competition to recognize excellent work and outstanding efforts exerted by MIDANPIRG Task force/ action groups/ Working groups.
- ➤ MIDANPIRG Chairman will reward MIDANPIRG Chairman Award to members of winning groups based on selection process and criteria during MIDANPIRG meeting.
- ➤ The public recognition aims to express appreciation by MIDANPIRG Chairman, recognize the outstanding work, motivate groups' members and increase engagement and productivity.













