Airport Collaborative Decision Making

A-CDM in Germany





Erik Sinz, DFS ICAO – Bahrain – 11.- 13. Oct 2015

Introduction

- Senior expert Airport CDM/Total Airport Management at DFS German ANSP
- DFS Focal point A-CDM/TAM
- Chairman German A-CDM harmonisation group
- In aviation since 1984:
 - 1984 1990 German Airforce
 - 1990 1996
 - 1997 2000
- DFS AIS/ARO Officer AIS Frankfurt DFS Expert Office TWR/APP Frankfurt
- 2001 today
 DFS Senior expert at DFS Headquarter
- Licences:
 - AIS/ARO DFS
 - FAA Aircraft dispatcher Simuflite
 - Flow Manager Eurocontrol
 - TWR/APP Frankfurt DFS



Content

- Motivation for Airport CDM in Germany
- Implementation basics Definition / Process essentials
- Status of Airport CDM in Germany
- Results
- International



Start and motivation of A-CDM in Germany

 It all started in the late 90's, after a very extreme adverse situation experience at Munich Airport

- Joint evaluation meeting was held to investigate what went wrong
 - Airlines; ATC; Airport Operations; Ground handling....

• One of the most surprising findings/conclusion was:





Erik Sinz, DFS

Start and motivation of A-CDM in Germany

????

Despite the same language and although we operate at the same airport
we do not understand each other.....

810

????

222

- Abbreviations
- Definitions
- Partners needs
- Reactions
- Way of thinking
- Regulations and requirements
- Processes for problem solving

"" "If I had known this, I would have reacted differently..."



different

or

unknown

Erik Sinz, DFS

 So the very first idea of Collaborative Decision Making was born at Munich airport, with the main focus on:



- ➔ Common Situational Awareness
- → General process during all kind of operations (regular/irregular)
- Coordinated execution of operational processes and decisions
- ➔ No Blame Culture
- → Focus on the overall system "Airport"



- The main challenges and questions in this period were:
 - ➔ Convincing, convincing, convincing,
 - ➔ What is my benefit...
 - ➔ What is with my data...
 - ➔ What are the costs....
 - → We have never done this......
 - ➔ Can't we buy a tool....





- After a bumpy start of the first CDM attempt in the early 2000's the decision was taken to start an official ACDM@MUC project in 2004
- Main project partners:
 - Munich Airport Company
 - DFS (ATC)
- Permanent participation of other local partners ensured Airlines, GH, De-Icing Company, etc.



- Close co-operation during the project implementation between ACDM@MUC and Eurocontrol
 - Proof of concept for European Airport operation programme
 - Support of European harmonisation and standardization issues
 - Joint development of ATFM network connection



Implementation basics - Definition

- Airport CDM is an overall operational process supporting an optimized TurnRound at an airport for all stakeholder/partner
- Airport CDM is about people and processes, not just about tools
- Airport CDM partners are:



Apron Control

Ground handling



Service providers

Airlines



Airport Traffic Ops Centre



Gate + Positioning



9

Erik Sinz, DFS

ICAO – Bahrain – 11.- 13. Oct 2015

ATFM

Adjacent units

- Let us build an A-CDM tower
- Important
 Start with the foundation and build it up step by step





Airport CDM Information Sharing



Common Situational awareness → Baseline for all process parts



11

Erik Sinz, DFS

ICAO – Bahrain – 11.- 13. Oct 2015

Transparency and Information Sharing is the most important basic step to ensure "Common Situational Awareness" for all partners



Erik Sinz, DFS

ICAO - Bahrain - 11.- 13. Oct 2015



The original Airport CDM process has 16 defined process milestones



Off

DFS Deutsche Flugsicherung

15

Off-Block

14

Source: Airport CDM implementation manual

Ensure the feasibility of flight turnround by permanent matching and correlation of related In- and Outbound flight times and data" ELDT = Estimated Landing Time EXIT = Estimated Taxi In Time **Turn-Round** Inbound Flight Taxi In EIBT = Estimated In Block Time MTTT = Minimum Turnround Time TOBT = Target Off Block Time ELDT + EXIT = EIBT + MTTT = Earliest Off Block Time 12:55 + 0005 = 13:00 + 0030 = 13:30E/TOBT of Outbound flight 13:30 or later DLH1AB/LH123 CDM07a 1002171200UTC 00 OF INBOUND DLH1AX/LH122 + MTTT 0030 IS NOT CONSISTENT WITH OUTBOUND ATC FLIGHT PLAN TOBT 1300 CHECK OUTBOUND FLIGHT AND TOBT AND UPDATE IF REQUIRED. E/TOBT of Outbound Flight NOTE: THIS IS AN ADVISORY ALERT ONLY AND THIS FLIGHT REQUIRES earlier than 13:30 MONITORING AS THE OUTBOUND FLIGHT MAYBE DELAYED. A-CDM Alerting Quality driver number one for your TurnRound efficiency

DFS Deutsche Flugsicherung



Erik Sinz, DFS

 The use of Variable Taxi Times (VTT) replaces "NMOC Default Taxi Times" and ensures better prediction of Target Take of Times



Average or default taxi time 15 min = lack of Take Off prediction quality (TTOT) = Non realistic CTOT for regulated flights Parking Position / Day / Hours / Rwy in Use = Optimized Pre Dep Sequencing (TSAT) = High quality of Take Off predictability (TTOT) = Realistic CTOT for regulated flights





DFS Deutsche Flugsicherung

- The Target Off Block Time (TOBT), as the estimation of aircraft ready, is the Airline commitment to the A-CDM process
 - Impact: Cockpit **Fueling Ground handling** Dispatch Loading PAX / Gate / Terminal

DFS Deutsche Flugsicherung

19

Technical Problems

- Target Start Up Approval Time TSAT is the Airport CDM commitment to the process
- Introduction of TSAT based on TOBT, VTT, CTOT and real operational capacity as driver for the "Pre Departure Sequence "





 Linking the airport into the European ATFM network by exchanging reliable In – and Outbound estimates/target times through automated Data exchange with ATFM (NMOC)"



Erik Sinz, DFS

ICAO – Bahrain – 11.- 13. Oct 2015

DFS Deutsche Flugsicherung





ICAO - Bahrain - 11.- 13. Oct 2015

Results

- Airport CDM at Munich Airport including local issues was successfully implemented in 2007
 - Foundation of European and German harmonisation/standardization
- Airport CDM projects started in FRA; DUS; BER; STR; HAM
 - Based on European and German harmonisation/standardization
- A German A-CDM harmonisation initiative group was founded

Objectives:

- Exchange of information and best practices between the different German CDM airports
- Achieve a common understanding of A-CDM in Germany and represent this understanding to the European Airport CDM harmonization process

"One face to the customer"



Results

	Munich	Frankfurt	Berlin	Düsseldorf	Stuttgart	Hamburg				
DFS Project - Partner	FMG - Airport Company	Fraport - Airport Company	FBB - Airport Company	FDG - Airport Company	FSG - Airport Company	FHG - Airport Company				
Movements	400.000	500.000	70.000	217.000	121.000	145.000				
Runways	2	4	1	2	1	2				
Project start	9/2004	8/2008	8/2009	7/2009	12/2009	9/2011				
Project end	7/2007	2/2011	5/2014	4/2013	10/2014	Planned 12/2015				
ATFM connection (NMOC)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Planned				
Status	Fully implemented	Fully implemented	Fully implemented	Fully implemented	Fully implemented	Project phase				
Erik Sinz. DFS										

Components benefical to Airport CDM

There are two main components beneficial to a fully implemented A-CDM:



Local A-CDM process/implementation

DFS Deutsche Flugsicherung

Results

Local results:

- **Common Situational Awareness reached**
- Very good involvement of all operational partners achieved
- Improved ground handling processes due to TOBT
- Reduction in controller workload
- No Blame culture due to a commonly shared A-CDM
- Improved programmability due to increased stability for all operational processes (Airlines, Airport; ATC) based on reliable target times (TOBT/TSAT/TTOT)
- Decrease in Taxi times
- Best use of available capacity
- A-CDM one of the key drivers for increasing the declared capacity





Results

Network ATFM results:



- Flight update message (ELDT) increases the quality of the estimated landing time → enhanced airport operation planning
- Local Target take off times (TTOTs) needed for the potential CTOT adjustment and sector load planning
- Identification of Ghost Flight plan → More CTOTs available
- Fewer "lost CTOTs" → frees available CTOT for other flights
- Quality of Airport CDM TTOTs (DPI) lead to better prediction of network traffic which will increase capacity (enroute, etc.) → 2-4% for the overall European ATM system



Results – Before / After Implementation



- Waiting time at the runway
- ATFM CTOT adherence
- Airport Slot adherence
- Late position/gate changes
- Impact of arrival delay on departure flights
- Taxi time
- Punctuality
- Less cancelled flights during adverse situations 5000 flights/y.

- → decrease of approximately 2 min
- ➔ increase of approximately 20 %
- → almost no flight without airport slot
- reduced to a minimum (1%) due to better data and process quality
- ➔ 80 to 90 % of arrival delay could be reduced or absorbed during the turnround process
- ➔ decrease of taxi time 10%
- ➔ 4,5% increase
- ➔ 0,5% decrease = 250 flights
- A-CDM one of the key drivers for increasing the declared capacity (1 to 4 movements per hour in average)



Conclusion

- Airport CDM:
 - Ensures an overall process for all stakeholder
 - Is not an IT-Tool it just needs some supporting tools
 - Considers stakeholder's needs
 - Requires cooperation of all stakeholder
 - Improves the operational efficiency at airports
 - Is "No-Blame-Culture"
 - Connects the airport to the ATM network (ATFM or ATC)
 - It is not cost intensive
 - Is not "rocket science"
 - Allows to being pro-active instead of reactive
 - Benefits are measured and proven
 - Means: "Best planned best served"





Contact details

<u> </u>	F			
DFS Deutsche Flug	gsicherung			
Erik Sinz Senior Expert A-CDM / TAM / HUB / Capacity	DFS Deutsche Flugsicherung GmbH Am DFS Campus 10 63225 Langen			
DFS A-CDM national / international focal point	Telephone	+49 (0) 6	103 / 707 - 1573	
Chairman German A-CDM Harmonization Initiative	Facsimile Email	+49 (0) 6 erik.sinz	i103 / 707 -1580 @dfs.de	
				DFS
			Moritz Manzel Consultant	
			Aeronautical Sol Sales and Consul	utions ting



